

Todd L. Bice, Esq., Bar No. 4534
Pisanelli Bice PLLC
 400 South 7th Street, Suite 300
 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
 Telephone: (702) 214-2100
 Facsimile: (702) 214-2101
 tlb@pisanellibice.com

Tina B. Solis, Illinois Bar No. 6242461
 Seth A. Horvath, Illinois Bar No. 6283110
Nixon Peabody LLP
 70 W. Madison Street, Suite 3500
 Chicago, Illinois 60602
 Telephone: (312) 977-4400
 Facsimile: (844) 560-8137
 tbsolis@nixonpeabody.com
 sahorvath@nixonpeabody.com
 (admitted pro hac vice)

*Attorneys for Plaintiffs Newmark Group Inc.,
 G&E Acquisition Company LLC, and BGC Real
 Estate of Nevada LLC*

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

NEWMARK GROUP INC., G&E)	
ACQUISITION COMPANY LLC, and BGC)	CASE NO.: 2:15-cv-00531-RFB-GWF
REAL ESTATE OF NEVADA LLC,)	
)	
Plaintiffs,)	
v.)	STIPULATION TO EXTEND
)	DEADLINES IN JUNE 1, 2020,
AVISON YOUNG (CANADA) INC., AVISON)	SCHEDULING ORDER (ECF NO.
YOUNG (USA) INC., AVISON YOUNG–)	378)
NEVADA LLC, MARK ROSE, THE NEVADA)	
COMMERCIAL GROUP, JOHN PINJUV,)	
JOSEPH KUPIEC, DOES 1 through 5, and ROE)	
BUSINESS ENTITIES 6 through 10.)	
)	
Defendants.)	

Plaintiffs Newmark Group Inc., G&E Acquisition Company LLC, and BGC Real Estate of Nevada, through their counsel, and Defendants Avison Young (Canada) Inc., Avison Young (USA) Inc., Avison Young–Nevada LLC, Mark Rose, Joseph Kupiec, John Pinjuv, and The Nevada Commercial Group, through their counsel (together, the “Parties”), jointly submit the following

1 stipulation to extend the deadlines in the scheduling order entered on June 1, 2020 (the “Scheduling
2 Order”) (ECF No. 378):

3 1. On June 1, 2020, the Court entered the Parties’ Stipulation to Extend Deadlines in
4 April 24, 2020, Order (the “Scheduling Order”). (ECF No. 339.)

5 2. At the time the stipulation was entered, Plaintiffs’ Motion for Protective Order (ECF
6 No. 298) and Plaintiffs’ Motion to Compel Directed to the AY Defendants, the NCG Defendants,
7 and the Third-Party Subpoena Recipients (ECF No. 374 (refiled as ECF No. 395)) were pending
8 before the Court.
9

10 3. At a telephonic hearing on June 10, 2020, the Court heard Plaintiffs’ Motion for
11 Protective Order. (ECF No. 389.)

12 4. On September 1, 2020, Plaintiffs filed their Motion to Compel the AY Defendants
13 and the NCG Defendants to (I) Search Additional Sources of ESI, (II) Produce “AY University”
14 Documents, (III) Produce Documents Improperly Included in the AY Defendants’ Clawback
15 Request, (IV) Produce Documents Improperly Withheld as Privileged, and (V) Provide an
16 Adequate Forensic Report (the “Motion to Compel Directed to the AY Defendants and NCG
17 Defendants”), which has now been fully briefed and argued. (ECF Nos. 397, 406, 410.)
18

19 5. On September 14, 2020, the Court entered an order on Plaintiffs’ Motion for
20 Protective Order. (ECF No. 402.)

21 6. At a Zoom hearing on October 13, 2020, the Court entered rulings on Plaintiffs’
22 Motion to Compel Directed to the AY Defendants, the NCG Defendants, and the Third-Party
23 Subpoena Recipients. (ECF No. 414.)

24 7. On October 28, 2020, Defendants filed their First Motion to Compel directed to
25 Plaintiffs, which has now been fully briefed and argued. (ECF No. 416.) Collectively, Plaintiffs’
26 Motion to Compel Directed to the AY Defendants and NCG Defendants and Defendants’ First
27 Motion to Compel are referred to herein as the “Motions to Compel.” On or about December 16,
28

1 2020, the Court heard oral argument on the Motions to Compel and ordered both parties to produce
2 additional materials. The Parties have agreed to the following extensions of the deadlines set forth
3 in the current Scheduling Order:

- 4 a. All document productions are to be completed within 60 days of the
5 Court's entry of an order approving this stipulation.
- 6 b. The Parties shall have 30 days after the document-production
7 deadline to review each other's productions in advance of the
8 remaining depositions in this matter and to coordinate the schedules
9 of their witnesses in preparation for the depositions. The period for
10 completing fact depositions will run for 120 days from the deadline
11 for reviewing document productions.
- 12 c. Initial expert disclosures in accordance with Federal Rule of Civil
13 Procedure 26 shall be made within 60 days after the deadline for
14 completing fact depositions. Rebuttal expert disclosures are due 30
15 days after the deadline for completing initial expert disclosures.
- 16 d. Expert depositions are to be completed within 30 days after the
17 deadline for completing rebuttal expert disclosures.
- 18 e. The deadline for dispositive motions is 45 days after the deadline
19 for completing expert depositions.
- 20 f. The joint pretrial report shall be filed within 60 days after the
21 Court's decision on dispositive motions.

22 8. The Parties do not seek appointment of a Special Master at this time. In the event
23 that a Special Master is later appointed in this case to address any discovery issues, the Parties
24 reserve their rights to have the Special Master review those documents identified by the Court at
25
26
27
28

1 the hearing on the Motions to Compel to be submitted (at the moving party's election) to a Special
2 Master.

3 9. This stipulated extension is requested in good faith and made with good cause. The
4 ongoing COVID-19 pandemic has continued to impose significant disruptions to ordinary business
5 activities of all Parties and counsel. The Parties have engaged in a collaborative and cooperative
6 effort to identify a reasonable time frame to complete discovery. This stipulated extension is not
7 intended to delay or inconvenience the Court, but rather seeks to promote judicial economy and
8 minimize the need for unnecessary court intervention.
9

10 10. The Parties anticipate that this will be the last extension necessary to complete
11 discovery, but out of an abundance of caution, they reserve their rights to request an additional
12 extension of the deadlines set forth above upon a showing of good cause to the Court.

13 11. The Parties further stipulate that, in lieu of utilizing the ten-deposition limitation
14 reflected in Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 30(a)(1)(A)(i), each side shall have a total of 70 hours
15 to complete fact depositions. Plaintiffs' 70-hour total shall be reduced by the number of hours
16 already spent on the record to conduct the depositions of John Pinjuv, Barton Hyde, Debra Sinclair,
17 and David Dworkin. Each party may allocate its time as it sees fit, but no one deposition shall
18 exceed seven hours on the record.
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IT IS SO ORDERED; provided, however, that the parties shall file a status report within 10 days of the completion of all discovery providing a date certain for the filing of dispositive motions, oppositions, and replies.


 U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Submitted: January 8, 2021

Dated: January 11, 2021

Agreed to by:

By: /s/ Tina B. Solis

Todd L. Bice, Esq., Bar No. 4534
Pisanelli Bice PLLC
 400 South 7th Street, Suite 300
 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
 Telephone: (702) 214-2100
 Facsimile: (702) 214-2101
 tlb@pisanellibice.com

Tina B. Solis (pro hac vice)
 Seth A. Horvath (pro hac vice)
Nixon Peabody LLP
 70 West Madison Street, Suite 3500
 Chicago, Illinois 60602
 tbsolis@nixonpeabody.com
 sahorvath@nixonpeabody.com

*Attorneys for Plaintiffs Newmark Group Inc.,
 G&E Acquisition Company LLC, and BGC
 Real Estate of Nevada LLC*

By: /s/ Nathaniel J. Kritzer

Robert S. Larsen
 Wing Y. Wong
Gordon & Rees Scully Mansukhani LLP
 300 S. 4th St., Suite 1550
 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
 Tel. (702) 577-9301
 Fax. (702) 255-2858
 rlarsen@grsm.com
 wwong@grsm.com

Nathaniel J. Kritzer (pro hac vice)
Steptoe & Johnson LLP
 Firm ID No. 43315
 1114 6th Avenue
 New York, NY 10036
 nkritzer@steptoe.com

*Attorneys for Defendants Avison
 Young (Canada) Inc., Avison Young (USA)
 Inc., Avison Young–Nevada LLC, Mark Rose,
 Joseph Kupiec, The Nevada Commercial
 Group LLC, and John Pinjuv*